

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)  
United States Attorney

MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)  
Chief, Criminal Division

STEPHEN G. CORRIGAN (MABN 100560)  
Assistant United States Attorney

1301 Clay Street Suite 340S  
Oakland, California 94612  
Telephone: (510) 637-3701  
FAX: (510) 637-3724  
Email: [stephen.corrigan@usdoj.gov](mailto:stephen.corrigan@usdoj.gov)

Attorneys for the United States

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. CR 12-70017 MAG
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	STIPULATION AND <del>PROPOSED</del>
	)	ORDER TO CONTINUE APPEARANCE
DARIUS WILLIAM L. CHUNG,	)	DATE AND EXCLUDING TIME UNDER
	)	THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Defendant.	)	
	)	

The parties, through counsel of record, stipulate to a continuance of the court appearance scheduled for March 7, 2012, at 9:30 a.m. before Honorable Laurel Beeler to March 23, 2012, at 9:30 a.m. before Honorable Donna M. Ryu. The continuance is requested to allow counsel for defendant and the government the time necessary for effective preparation.

All parties agreed that an exclusion of time from the Speedy Trial Act was appropriate from March 7, 2012, to March 23, 2012, to allow counsel for defendant and the government the

////

////

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER  
CONTINUANCE APPEARANCE DATE  
CR-12-00047-PJH 4-12-70017 MAG

1 time necessary for effective preparation. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

2 SO STIPULATED.

3  
4 DATED: March 7, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

5 MELINDA HAAG  
6 United States Attorney

7 /s/  
8 STEPHEN G. CORRIGAN  
Assistant United States Attorney

9 DATED: March 7, 2012

10 /s/  
AUSTIN M. THOMPSON  
11 Counsel for Darius William Li Chung

12 **ORDER**

13 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the matter is continued from March 7, 2012, at 9:30 a.m.  
14 to March 23, 2012, at 9:30 a.m. before U.S. Magistrate Judge Donna M. Ryu.

15 Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that  
16 the ends of justice served by excluding the time between March 7, 2012, and March 23, 2012,  
17 from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweighs the best interests of the public and the  
18 defendants in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court further finds that failing to  
19 exclude time would unreasonably deny defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective  
20 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

21 Therefore, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the time between March 7, 2012, and  
22 March 23, 2012, shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act for the reasons  
23 stated above, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

24  
25 DATED: March 7, 2012

  
26 LAUREL BEELER  
United States Magistrate Judge